IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MARCUS C. WILLIAMS,)
aka AYANA SATYAGRAHI,)
Petitioner,))
) Case No. 20-cv-586-NJF
VS.)
)
DAN SPROUL,1)
Respondent.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ROSENSTENGEL, Chief Judge:

Petitioner Marcus C. Williams, aka Ayana Satyagrahi, an inmate of the Federal Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") currently incarcerated at United States Penitentiary – Marion ("USP- Marion"), brings this habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 to challenge a disciplinary proceeding which led to loss of good time credits. Specifically, Satyagrahi alleges that she was denied due process during the disciplinary proceedings.

The case is now before the Court for a preliminary review of the Petition pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in United States District Courts. Without commenting on the merits of Satyagrahi's claim, the Court concludes that the Petition survives preliminary review under Rule 4 and Rule 1(b). Given the limited record, it is not plainly apparent that she is not entitled to habeas relief.

¹ Dan Sproul is the current warden of USP-Marion and thus the proper respondent. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to SUBSTITUTE Dan Sproul in place of the generic respondent Warden -USP Marion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Dan Sproul shall answer or otherwise plead on or before September 24, 2020.² This preliminary order to respond does not, of course, preclude the Government from raising any objection or defense it may wish to present. Service upon the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Illinois, 750 Missouri Avenue, East St. Louis, Illinois, shall constitute sufficient service.

Satyagrahi is **ADVISED** of her continuing obligation to keep the Clerk (and each opposing party) informed of any change in her whereabouts during the pendency of this action. This notification must be done in writing and no later than 7 days after a transfer or other change in address occurs. Failure to provide notice may result in dismissal of this action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/27/2020

NANCY J. ROSENSTENGEL Chief U.S. District Judge

Many J. Noensteng

² The response date ordered here is controlling. Any date that the Case Management/Electronic Case Filing ("CM/ECF") system should generate during this litigation is a guideline only. *See* SDIL-EFR 3.